

Cholesterol and Glucose Lowering Effects of Brown Rice Among Hypercholesterolemic Patients



RESEARCH TEAM

Diane Mendoza Project Leader

Ms. Maureen dG. Sarmago, and Mr. Emmanuel Mistades Research Assistants

> Dr. LEONORA N. PANLASIGUI Consultant, Dean, School of Nutrition, PWU

Prof. ERNIEL B. BARRIOS Consultant, Dean, College of Statistics, UPD

MS. JOY NOREE MARAMBA

Ms. Khristine Ivy Llave, Ms. Nicole Afuang, Ms. Grace Bernabe, Ms. Maricel delos Santos (NDs); Dr. Marciano Avendano (MD)

Ms. Michelle Catubig, Anna Gabriela Guingon, Ms. Rose Ann Untalan, Ms. Dianne Hernandez, Ms. Gelly Tongco (Field Staff)



Outline of presentation

- Introduction
- Objectives of the study
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusion

The PWU School of NUTRITION

Recommendations





3

1. Introduction

THE GLOBAL BURDEN



FIGURE 1: GLOBAL MORTALITY (% OF TOTAL DEATHS), ALL AGES, BOTH SEXES, 2016





https://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd-profiles-2018/en/

1. Introduction

PHILIPPINES



https://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd-profiles-2018/en/ Department of Health (PSA data) Cohen HW, Hailpern SM and Alderman MH. Hypertension.4;43:1-6, 2004

1. Introduction

Comparison of the Nutrient Composition

Nutrients	White Rice	Brown Rice
Energy (kcal)	356	371
Protein (g)	7.4	10.0
Fat (g)	0.5	2.8
Carbohvdrate (a)	80.4	76.5
Dietary Fiber (g)	0.6	3.7
Calcium (mg)	27	38
Phosphorus (mg)	155	259
Thiamin (mg)	0.10	0.42
Riboflavin (mg)	0.05	0.04
Niacin (mg)	2.8	7.0

 Unmilled or partly milled

- Staple
- More nutritious than white rice

FNRI, The Philippine Food Composition Tables, 1997

Studies by: Panlasigui et al., Kahlon, et al. (1996), Most (2005) Vissers et. Al, (2000)



 this study aimed to determine the efficacy of brown rice as a dietary staple in decreasing cholesterol levels blood sugar levels after twelve weeks among hypercholesterolemic individuals.







Methods:

Or

Duration: 12 weeks Participants: - randomly assigned to control (WR)

intervention (BR) group - nutrition counseling

Feeding intervention:

- based on diet plan
- supplied for lunch and dinner

Ethics Clearance:

- ERB- NIH Panel (protocol # NIH 2011-02

Figure 2. Research Process Flow





Methods:

Or

Duration: 12 weeks Participants: - randomly assigned to control (WR)

intervention (BR) group - nutrition counseling

Feeding intervention:

- based on diet plan
- supplied for lunch and dinner

Ethics Clearance:

- ERB- NIH Panel (protocol # NIH 2011-02

Figure 2. Research Process Flow



Methods:







Baseline Characteristics of Participants (Between groups)

VADIADIS.	CONTROL (WR)	EXPERIMENTAL (BR)	
VARIABLES	Mean ± SD n=33	Mean ± SD n=32	
ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMEN	NTS		p-value*
Height (m)	1.63 ± 0.09	1.59 ± 0.07	0.075
Weight (kg)	69.74 ± 12.81	62.95 ± 9.78	0.02
BMI (ht/m²)	26.14 ± 3.61	24.79 ± 3.56	0.135
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY			
IPAQ (METs)	1203.64 ± 853.08	1314.16 ± 855.28	0.604
DIETARY INTAKE			
Kcal	1604.64 ± 534.59	1546.46 ± 386.16	0.618
CHO (g)	273.09 ± 104.11	252.78 ± 79.10	0.38
CHON (g)	43.2 ± 11.90	47.15 ± 15.77	0.257
FAT (g)	37.73 ± 16.63	38.56 ± 14.77	0.834
FIBER (g)	7.77 ± 2.46	8.04 ± 3.6	0.726
BIOCHEMICAL (LIPID PROFILE AN	ID FBS)		
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	224.37 ± 19.25	222.88 ± 22.10	0.773
HDL (mg/dL)	55.60 ± 19.56	54.16 ± 17.17	0.754
LDL (mg/dL)	151.51 ± 21.78	147.86 ± 19.89	0.484
FBS (mg/dL)	102.61 ± 13.55	101.41 ± 10.36	0.69

Results:

- Physical activity

- Lipid profile

No significant

difference in:

- BMI

- Diet

- FBS

*p value = <0.05 is set as level of significance (independent sample t-test)



Results:

Table 5

Difference between baseline and endline measure of both groups

	CONTROL (WR)			EXPERIME	EXPERIMENTAL (BR)	
VARIABLES	Mean n=:	Mean ± SD n=33		Mean ± SD n=32		*p-value
	BASELINE	ENDLINE		BASELINE	ENDLINE	
ANTHROPOMETRIC MEA	SUREMENTS					
Weight (kg)	69.74 ± 12.81	69.51±12.59	0.942	62.95 ± 9.78	61.66±9.35	0.593
BMI (ht/m²)	26.14 ± 3.61	26.04±3.45	0.91	24.79 ± 3.56	24.24±3.13	0.509
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY						
IPAQ (METs)	1203.63 ± 853.08	983.65±708.13	0.259	1314.15 ± 855.28	1132.17±783.70	0.378
DIETARY INTAKE						
Koal	1604.64 ± 534.59	1477.43±340.98	0.253	1546.46 ± 386.16	1683.05±506.01	0.229
CHO (g)	273.09 ± 104.11	245.85±66.8	0.211	252.78 ± 79.10	268.78±108.41	.502
CHON (g)	43.2 ± 11.90	42.23±10.88	0.732	47.15 ± 15.77	53.78±17.06	0.112
FAT (g)	37.73 ± 16.63	36.16±12.43	0.665	38.56 ± 14.77	43.66±14.95	0.174
FIBER (g)	7.77±2.46	7.10±2.47	0.273	8.04±3.61	9.90±3.76	0.048
BIOCHEMICAL (LIPID PR	OFILE AND FBSJ					
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	224.37 ± 19.25	217.81 ± 27.41	0.265	222.88 ± 22.10	208.56± 24.04	0.016
HDL (mg/dL)	55.60 ± 19.56	55.74±15.37	0.974	54.16 ± 17.17	56.88± 18.48	0.545
LDL (mg/dL)	151.51 ± 21.78	158.27±26.27	0.257	147.86 ± 19.89	148.78± 29.13	0.884
FBS (mg/dL)	102.61 ± 13.55	98.57±11.39	0.194	101.41 ± 10.36	99.25± 8.57	0.368



Results:

8 6 4 p 0.727 p 0.264 2 Percent change 0 p 0.1667 p 0.341 -2 -4 -6 Cholesterol (%) HDL (%) LDL (%) FBS (%) CONTROL (WR) (n=33) 2.08 -4.71 -4.82 4.03 EXPERIMENTAL (BR) (n= 6.99 -3.55 1.56 1.18







No significant difference in endline TC levels between group. BR had higher reduction from baseline values. Percent change after twelve weeks:

WR: 2.08% BR: 6.99 %

Oryzanol: antioxidant activity, reduction of serum cholesterol, **RICE BRAN** reduction of cholesterol absorption, increase in HDL

RICE BRAN Tocotrienols and B-sitosterol: cholesterol lowering effects, reduced absorption

Reduced cholesterol absorption, decreased bile reabsoprtion

- Cara et al. J ClinNutr. 55:81-8. 1992.

Discussion:

- Hsu TF, Kise M, Wang MF, Ito Y, Yang MD, Aoto H, Yoshihara R, Yokoyama J, Kunii D, Yamamoto S. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo). 2008 Apr;54(2):163-8.

Liang YT, Wong WT, Guan L, Tian XY, Ma KY, Huang Y, Chen ZY. Atherosclerosis. 2011 Nov;219(1):124-33. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2011.06.004.



OIL

FIBER

Conclusion:

A 6% decrease in total cholesterol among hypercholesterolemic individuals after twelve weeks of brown rice consumption along with nutrition counseling may help reduce total cholesterol.

Mean one-day household food intake by food group and percent contribution to the total intake: Philippines, 2018



✓ It is practical ✓ Staple ✓ Small food based change



Recommendations:

Replacing daily intake of white rice with brown rice Nutrition counseling

 Increase in advocacy campaigns on the benefits of brown rice
 Increase in promotion in consumption: household and food establishments

Further studies

- controlled environment
- strict monitoring of other risk factors (SFA, PA, smoking, alcohol intake)
- Longer duration- long term effects



Dr. LEONORA N. PANLASIGUI Consultant, Dean, School of Nutrition, PWU

Prof. ERNIEL B. BARRIOS Consultant, Dean, College of Statistics, UPD

Ms. Maureen dG. Sarmago, RND and Mr. Emmanuel Mistades Research Assistants

Ms. Khristine Ivy Llave, Ms. Nicole Afuang, Ms. Grace Bernabe, Ms. Maricel delos Santos (NDs); Dr. Marciano Avendano (MD)

Ms. Michelle Catubig, Anna Gabriela Guingon, Ms. Rose Ann Untalan, Ms. Dianne Hernandez, Ms. Gelly Tongco (Field Staff)

